

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,055		09/12/2002	Urs Wuest	7524.23USWO	5754
23552	7590	06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHA P.O. BOX		OULD PC		SORKIN, I	DAVID L
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1723	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/890,055	WUEST ET AL.	/				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David L. Sorkin	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. & 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ne 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []	(DTO 442)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2/2004.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-	152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/890,055

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "nozzle bars" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. These claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. While independent claim 8 requires "the heatable mixer is a batch mixer which is connected to a dryer/cooler by means of a lock-like valve system"; it is clear from the remainder of the specification and from the drawings that the lock-like valve system (3) instead connects the depot (1) and the heatable batch mixer (4). The lock-like valve system (3) is not connected to dryer/cooler (8) at all.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claim 14 is unclear because of the phrase "the bulk material" (recited in line 2 of the claim) for which there is insufficient antecedent basis. See also "bulk material" recited in line 6 of claim 14 and line 2 of claim 15. It is unclear if the claim is limited to treatment of "flour" as line 1 recites, or if the claim is broader due to the more generic term "bulk material". It is suggested that "bulk material" be changed to - flour - in each case.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,055

Art Unit: 1723

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action by changing "bulk material" to - - flour - - in lines 2 and 6 of claim 14 and line 2 of claim 15.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant argues that applicant need not comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a) by showing ever feature of the claimed invention in the drawings. Applicant states that a German application is incorporated by reference on page 4, lines 6-11 of the instant application; however, the application is merely mentioned, not incorporated by reference.

 Furthermore, even if hypothetically it was incorporated by reference, which it isn't, a foreign application cannot be incorporated by reference for essential subject matter.

 See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973). Most fundamentally, the examiner does not agree that drawings in other documents can ever satisfy 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawing must be in the non-provisional application itself, not elsewhere.
- 8. Applicant's arguments regarding the section 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 8-13 are not convincing. Applicant points to the statement "Corresponding closing devices (not shown) are provide between individual components" (page 5, line 3-5). However, this statement isn't even close to the limitation "the heatable mixer is a batch mixer which is connected to a dryer/cooler by means of a lock-like valve system". It does not refer to the heatable mixer. It does not refer to the dryer/cooler. It does not

Page 4

Page 5

refer to "a lock-like valve system". The drawing shows that the heatable mixer 4 isn't connect to the dryer cooler at all. Applicant refers to "valve system 11", but this valve system is not discloses to be a "lock-like" valve system. Furthermore it is separately recited in the independent claim. Claim 8 requires both the lock-like valve system 3 and the other valve system 11. Applicant refers to batch mixer 12, but this mixer is not disclosed to be a "heatable mixer". The heating provisions of mixer 4 are not provided for mixer 12. Furthermore, the independent claim requires both heatable mixer 3 and second mixer 12.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in 9. this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Examiner Art Unit 1723

David Sorkin

Dal lah